	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	10/622,231	RUAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	James L Grun	1641
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	TO personnel):	
(1) James L Grun, Ph.D., USPTO.	(3)	
(2) Mr. M. Wayne Western, Appl. Rep.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 October 2004.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's representati	ve]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>16 and 19</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached	d. g)☐ was not reached. h)☐] N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the gen reached, or any other comments: The changes to the cexaminer's amendment, were agreed upon to obviate in application in condition for allowability. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the anallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. The FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICINTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEME Summary of Record of Interview requirements on revertible in the control of the	nendments which the examiner no copy of the amendments that ached.) CE ACTION MUST INCLUDE To the last Office action has alread, OR THE MAILING DATE OF TENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF	agreed would render the claims at would render the claims HE SUBSTANCE OF THE ady been filed, APPLICANT IS THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Examiner's	signature, if required

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Attachment to a signed Office action.